

REMARKS

Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 12 remain pending in the present application. Claims 5 and 9-11 have been withdrawn by the Examiner. Claims 1, 2 and 8 have been amended. Basis for the amendments can be found throughout the specification, drawings and claims as originally filed.

OK
PB
8/15/06
The undersigned would like to thank Examiner Binda for the courtesies extended to him during the personal interview on June 14, 2006. At the interview, above Claim 1 was discussed. Also, the patents to Walterscheid, Jennings, and Landrum were discussed. The Examiner conceded that Claim 1 appeared to overcome the Walterscheid and Landrum reference. However, the Examiner did not believe proposed Claim 1 to overcome Jennings.

IN THE SPECIFICATION

The Examiner has objected to the specification for various informalities. Applicants have amended the specification to overcome the Examiner's objection. Applicants have amended paragraph [0029] in the last amendment to overcome the Examiner's objection to the term "abutment".

Claims 2 and 8 have also been amended pursuant to the Examiner's request.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §112, FIRST PARAGRAPH

The Examiner has rejected Claims 1-4, 6-8 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, alleging that they fail to comply with the enabling requirement.

Applicants enclose Figs. 2, 3 and 4 with the driving member highlighted in yellow and the connection plate highlighted in green. Also, a component connected to the